UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/802,672 | 03/16/2004 | Sourabh Satish | SYMAP044 | 2680 |
| 21912 VAN PRI T. V | 7590 01/08/2008 | EXAMINER | | |
| VAN PELT, YI & JAMES LLP 10050 N. FOOTHILL BLVD #200 | | | DEBNATH, SUMAN | |
| CUPERTINO, | NO, CA 95014 | · | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2135 | |
| | | | | |
| | | 1 | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/08/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| • | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | 10/802,672 | SATISH, SOURABH | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Suman Debnath | 2135 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON title, cause the application to become AB | CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 | 9 November 2007. | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ T | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D |). 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-17 is/are pending in the | e application. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without | frawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-17</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a | accepted or b) objected to | by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached | d Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 3 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p | · | received in this National Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bur | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | list of the certified copies not | received. | | | |
| | | , | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | . | Numero (PTO 442) | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Gummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Ir 6) Other: | nformal Patent Application | | | |

10/802,672 Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-9, 11-17 are pending in this application.
- 2. Claims 1 and 14-17 are presently amended.
- 3. Claims 10, 18-20 are cancelled.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 101 because claim(s) is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claim 17, the "computer readable medium," in accordance with Applicant's specification, is "a computer network wherein program instructions are sent over optical or electronic communication links (Specification, page 4, lines 3-4)." When program instructions are sent over optical or electronic communication links, given a broader interpretation "computer readable medium" is a carrier waves. This subject matter is not limited to that which falls within a statutory category of invention because it is not limited to a process, machine, manufacture, or a composition of matter. Instead, it includes a form of energy. Energy doesn't fall within a statutory category since it is clearly not a series of steps or acts to constitute a process, not a mechanical device or

combination of mechanical devices to constitute a machine, not a tangible physical article or object which is some form of matter to be a product and constitute a manufacture, and not a composition of two or more substances to constitute a composition of matter.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

7. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 19 November 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. Claims 1-9 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tajalli et al. (Pub. No.: US 2004/0143749 A1) (hereinafter "Tajalli") in view of Li et al. (Patent No.: US 7,143,392 B2) (hereinafter "Li").
- 9. As to claim 1, Tajalli discloses A method of providing security, comprising: accessing a file configured by a process to store operational information associated with the process (FIG. 4, [0063], [0064], [0065], [0100]);

10/802,672 Art Unit: 2135

determining a first behavior using the operational information, wherein the first behavior is associated with the process ([0066], "...define the permitted behavior of applications running on the agents", see also [0044], [0054], [0109], [0127]);

monitoring the process ([0053], [0063]); comparing a second behavior with the first behavior, wherein the second behavior is attempted by the process [0133], "unacceptable behavior", see also [0044], [0082]); and

performing a predetermined responsive action if the second behavior is different from the first behavior ([0081] –[0082], see also [0133]-[0134]);

wherein the operational information includes a list of one or more resources accessed by the process in the course of a normal and permitted operation ([0020], [0057]); and the file is used by the process in the course of said normal and permitted operation to enable the process to access said resources (FIG. 4, [0063] – [0066], [0134]); Though Tajalli teaches determining the first behavior includes processing files to identify the one or more resources ([0109], [0054]), Tajalli doesn't explicitly disclose parsing the file. However, Li discloses parsing the file (col. 12, lines 30-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teaching of Tajalli as taught by Li in order to provide "an improvement in runtime monitoring and characterization for a computer system (Li, col. 3, lines 45-55)."

10. As to claims 16 and 17, these are rejected using the same rationale as for the rejection of claim 1.

- 11. As to claim 2, Tajalli discloses in an event the second behavior is determined to be different from the first behavior, the second behavior is determined to be disallowed ([0133], "unacceptable behavior", see also [0044], [0081], [0082]).
- 12. As to claim 3, Tajalli discloses wherein the first behavior is one of a plurality of behaviors determined using the operational information (([0066], "...define the permitted behavior of applications running on the agents", see also [0044], [[0051], 0054], [0109], [0127]).
- 13. As to claim 4, Tajalli discloses wherein the first behavior is one of a plurality of behaviors determined using the operational information ([0066], "...define the permitted behavior of applications running on the agents", see also [0044], [0054], [0109], [0127]), and in the event the second behavior is determined to be different from the plurality of behaviors, the second behavior is determined to be disallowed ([0133], "unacceptable behavior", see also [0044], [0081], [0082]).
- 14. As to claim 5, Tajalli discloses further comprising determining that the second behavior is disallowed ([0133], "unacceptable behavior", see also [0081], "...as applications request and use resources, looking for requests or uses that depart from the acceptable behavior").

- 15. As to claim 6, Tajalli discloses further comprising determining that the second behavior is disallowed and performing a predetermined action ([0133], [0106], [0081]).
- 16. As to claim 7, Tajalli disclose wherein the predetermined action includes preventing the second behavior from succeeding ([0133], [0106], [0081]).
- 17. As to claim 8, Tajalli discloses wherein the predetermined action includes generating an event associated with the second behavior ([0081], [0082], [0106], "log data").
- 18. As to claim 9, Tajalli discloses wherein determining the first behavior using the operational information is performed when the process is not operating ([0066], [0082]).
- 19. As to claim 11, Tajalli doesn't explicitly disclose wherein the operational information is used to improve process initialization time. However, Shah discloses wherein the operational information is used to improve process initialization time. (column 33, lines 10-46, see also column 26, lines 30-50).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teaching of Tajalli as taught by Shah in order to "provide a client-side performance optimization system" (Shah).

- 20. As to claim 12, Tajalli discloses wherein the operational information is configured on a per user basis ([0205], "The Profiler 1102 ca be used by users to generate BCDs 305 for their own custom applications").
- 21. As to claim 13, Tajalli discloses wherein the operational information includes component access information ([0020], "...each process set has a corresponding behavior control description, and controlling access to system resources by each process ..").
- 22. As to claims 14 and 15, Tajalli discloses a database (FIG. 4). Tajalli doesn't explicitly disclose includes a prefetch file. However, Shah discloses prefetch file (col. 33, lines 10-46, see also column 26, lines 30-50).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teaching of Tajalli by including a prefetch file as taught by Shah in order to "provide a client-side performance optimization system" (Shah, col. 2, lines 30-36).

23. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the

10/802,672 Art Unit: 2135

responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Response to Amendment

24. Applicant has amended claims 1 and 14-17. See rejection above.

Conclusion

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suman Debnath whose telephone number is 571 270 1256. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached on 571 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

10/802,672 Art Unit: 2135 Page 9

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

5D

PATENT EXAL